

Errata for Geometric Approximation Algorithms

By Sariel Har-Peled, February 25, 2014[Ⓐ]

Version: 1.0

1. Chapter 1:
 - Page 2, Lemma 1.5: “... $\alpha = \mathcal{CP}(\mathcal{P})...$ ”
 - Page 2, Proof of Lemma 1.5: “Partition $\square...$ ”
 - Page 11, Exercise 1.1 (Tamon Stephen): The lower bound seems incorrect. One can prove 2^d easily, and $(\sqrt{d}/5)^d$ is also correct for d large enough – using the formula for the volume of the ball in higher dimensions (see Section 19.2.1, page 261), and Stirling’s formula.
2. Chapter 2:
 - Exercise 2.3 (Lie Yan): The condition $n \leq r^{2-\varepsilon}$ is missing, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrary small constant. Also the point set is, say, in the plane.
3. Chapter 6:
 - Page 91 (Tamon Stephen): Theorem 6.7 should be:
“Given a set \mathcal{P} of n points in \mathbb{R}^d , one can compute a spanning tree \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{P} such that **any hyperplane** crosses at most $O(n^{1-1/d})$ edges of \mathcal{T} . The running time is polynomial in n .”
4. Chapter 10:
 - Page 146 (Tamon Stephen): The figure is for $\varepsilon = 0.25$ (and not as written in the text), and as such $\mathbf{q} = \rho_z(75)$.
5. Chapter 11:
 - Page 158, Section 11.3 (Tamon Stephen): $1 + \varepsilon$ should be τ .
6. Chapter 16:
 - (Tamon Stephen): **Farkas** lemma not Farakas lemma. Several places in this chapter.
7. Chapter 17:
 - Page 233, bottom line (Tamon Stephen): Figure 17.2 (and not 17.1).
8. Chapter 23:
 - Lemma 23.3 (Lie Yan): Part (B) should be that the resulting coresset is a 2ε -coresset (the ε -coresset claim is still true under a slightly different definition of projection width).

[Ⓐ]This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.